

General form of deformation of Poisson superbracket

S.E. Konstein*, A.G. Smirnov[†] and I.V. Tyutin[‡]

I.E.Tamm Department of Theoretical Physics,
P. N. Lebedev Physical Institute,
119991, Leninsky Prospect 53, Moscow, Russia.

Abstract

Continuous formal deformations of the Poisson superbracket defined on compactly supported smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ taking values in a Grassmann algebra are described up to an equivalence transformation. It is shown that there are the additional deformations which are different from the standard Moyal bracket.

1. Introduction. The hope to construct the quantum mechanics on nontrivial manifolds is connected with geometrical or deformation quantization [1] - [4]. The functions on the phase space are associated with the operators, and the product and the commutator of the operators are described by associative $*$ -product and $*$ -commutator of the functions. These $*$ -product and $*$ -commutator are the deformations of usual product and of usual Poisson bracket. In [5], the problem is considered for the Poisson superalgebra on the superspace of polynomials and for the antibracket. Purely Grassmannian case is considered in [6] and purely bosonic case was considered in [7] under some additional assumptions.

In the present paper, we find the general form of the $*$ -commutator in the case of a Poisson superalgebra of smooth compactly supported functions taking values in a Grassmann algebra. The proposed analysis is essentially based on the results of the paper [8] by the authors, where the second cohomology space with coefficients in the adjoint representation of the Poisson superalgebra was found.

2. Deformations of topological Lie superalgebras. In this section, we recall some concepts concerning formal deformations of algebras (see, e.g., [9]), adapting them to the case of topological Lie superalgebras. Let L be a topological Lie superalgebra over $\mathbb{K}$ ($\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$) with Lie superbracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$, $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar^2]]$ be the ring of formal power series in $\hbar^2$ over $\mathbb{K}$, and $L[[\hbar^2]]$ be the $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar^2]]$ -module of formal power series in $\hbar^2$ with coefficients in L . We

*E-mail: konstein@lpi.ru

[†]E-mail: smirnov@lpi.ru

[‡]E-mail: tyutin@lpi.ru

endow both $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar^2]]$ and $L[[\hbar^2]]$ by the direct-product topology. The grading of L naturally determines a grading of $L[[\hbar^2]]$: an element $f = f_0 + \hbar^2 f_1 + \dots$ has a definite parity $\varepsilon(f)$ if $\varepsilon(f) = \varepsilon(f_j)$ for all $j = 0, 1, \dots$. Every p -linear separately continuous mapping from L^p to L (in particular, the bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$) is uniquely extended by $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar^2]]$ -linearity to a p -linear separately continuous mapping over $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar^2]]$ from $L[[\hbar^2]]^p$ to $L[[\hbar^2]]$. A (continuous) formal deformation of L is by definition a $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar^2]]$ -bilinear separately continuous Lie superbracket $C(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $L[[\hbar^2]]$ such that $C(f, g) = \{f, g\} \bmod \hbar^2$ for any $f, g \in L[[\hbar^2]]$. Obviously, every formal deformation C is expressible in the form

$$C(f, g) = \{f, g\} + \hbar^2 C_1(f, g) + \hbar^4 C_2(f, g) + \dots, \quad f, g \in L, \quad (1)$$

where C_j are separately continuous skew-symmetric bilinear mappings from $L \times L$ to L (2-cochains with coefficients in the adjoint representation of L). Formal deformations C^1 and C^2 are called equivalent if there is a continuous $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar^2]]$ -linear operator $T : L[[\hbar^2]] \rightarrow L[[\hbar^2]]$ such that $TC^1(f, g) = C^2(Tf, Tg)$, $f, g \in L[[\hbar^2]]$. The problem of finding formal deformations of L is closely related to the problem of computing Chevalle–Eilenberg cohomology of L with coefficients in the adjoint representation of L . Let $\mathcal{C}_p(L)$ denote the space of p -linear skew-symmetric separately continuous mappings from L^p to L (the space of p -cochains with coefficients in the adjoint representation of L). The space $\mathcal{C}_p(L)$ possesses a natural $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading: by definition, $M_p \in \mathcal{C}_p(L)$ has the definite parity $\epsilon(M_p)$ if the relation

$$\varepsilon(M_p(f_1, \dots, f_p)) = \varepsilon(M_p) + \varepsilon(f_1) + \dots + \varepsilon(f_p)$$

holds for any $f_j \in L$ with definite parities $\epsilon(f_j)$. Since a Lie superbracket is always even, all C_j in the expansion (1) should be even 2-cochains. The differential d_p^{ad} is defined to be the linear operator from $\mathcal{C}_p(L)$ to $\mathcal{C}_{p+1}(L)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} d_p^{\text{ad}} M_p(f_1, \dots, f_{p+1}) = & - \sum_{j=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{j+\varepsilon(f_j)|\varepsilon(f)|_{1,j-1}+\varepsilon(f_j)\varepsilon_{M_p}} \{f_j, M_p(f_1, \dots, \hat{f}_j, \dots, f_{p+1})\} - \\ & - \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{j+\varepsilon(f_j)|\varepsilon(f)|_{i+1,j-1}} M_p(f_1, \dots, f_{i-1}, \{f_i, f_j\}, f_{i+1}, \dots, \hat{f}_j, \dots, f_{p+1}), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

for any $M_p \in \mathcal{C}_p(L)$ and $f_1, \dots, f_{p+1} \in L$ having definite parities. Here the hat means that the argument is omitted and the notation

$$|\varepsilon(f)|_{i,j} = \sum_{l=i}^j \varepsilon(f_l)$$

has been used. Writing the Jacobi identity for a deformation C of the form (1),

$$\sigma(f, h)C(f, C(g, h)) + \text{cycle}(f, g, h) = 0, \quad \sigma(f, g) = (-1)^{\varepsilon(f)\varepsilon(g)}, \quad (3)$$

and taking the terms of the order $\hbar^2$, we find that

$$d_2^{\text{ad}} C_1 = 0. \quad (4)$$

Thus, the first order deformations of L are described by 2-cocycles of the differential d^{ad} .

3. Poisson superalgebra. Let $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ denote the space of smooth $\mathbb{K}$ -valued functions with compact support on $\mathbb{R}^k$. This space is endowed by its standard topology: by definition, a sequence $\varphi_k \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ converges to $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ if $\partial^\lambda \varphi_k$ converge uniformly to $\partial^\lambda \varphi$ for every multi-index λ , and the supports of all φ_k are contained in a fixed compact set. We set

$$\mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-} = \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n_+}) \otimes \mathbb{G}^{n_-}, \quad \mathbf{E}_{n_+}^{n_-} = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n_+}) \otimes \mathbb{G}^{n_-},$$

where $\mathbb{G}^{n_-}$ is the Grassmann algebra with n_- generators and $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^{n_+})$ is the space of continuous linear functionals on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n_+})$. The generators of the Grassmann algebra (resp., the coordinates of the space $\mathbb{R}^{n_+}$) are denoted by ξ^α , $\alpha = 1, \dots, n_-$ (resp., x^i , $i = 1, \dots, n_+$). We shall also use collective variables z^A which are equal to x^A for $A = 1, \dots, n_+$ and are equal to ξ^{A-n_+} for $A = n_+ + 1, \dots, n_+ + n_-$. The spaces $\mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$, $\mathbf{E}_{n_+}^{n_-}$, and $\mathbf{D}_{n_+}'^{n_-}$ possess a natural grading which is determined by that of the Grassmann algebra. The parity of an element f of these spaces is denoted by $\varepsilon(f)$. We also set $\varepsilon_A = 0$ for $A = 1, \dots, n_+$ and $\varepsilon_A = 1$ for $A = n_+ + 1, \dots, n_+ + n_-$.

Let $\partial/\partial z^A$ and $\overleftarrow{\partial}/\partial z^A$ be the operators of the left and right differentiation. The Poisson bracket is defined by the relation

$$\{f, g\}(z) = f(z) \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} \omega^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^B} g(z) = -\sigma(f, g) \{g, f\}(z), \quad (5)$$

where the symplectic metric $\omega^{AB} = (-1)^{\varepsilon_A \varepsilon_B} \omega^{BA}$ is a constant invertible matrix. For definiteness, we choose it in the form

$$\omega^{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega^{ij} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_\alpha \delta^{\alpha\beta} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_\alpha = \pm 1, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n_+, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n_-$$

where ω^{ij} is the canonical symplectic form (if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, then one can choose $\lambda_\alpha = 1$). The nondegeneracy of the matrix ω^{AB} implies, in particular, that n_+ is even. The Poisson superbracket satisfies the Jacobi identity

$$\sigma(f, h) \{f, \{g, h\}\}(z) + \text{cycle}(f, g, h) = 0, \quad f, g, h \in \mathbf{E}_{n_+}^{n_-}. \quad (6)$$

By Poisson superalgebra $\mathcal{P}$, we mean the space $\mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$ with the Poisson bracket (5) on it. The relations (5) and (6) show that this bracket indeed determines a Lie superalgebra structure on $\mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$.

The integral on $\mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$ is defined by the relation

$$\bar{f} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int dz f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_+}} dx \int d\xi f(z),$$

where the integral on the Grassmann algebra is normed by the condition $\int d\xi \xi^1 \dots \xi^{n_-} = 1$.

4. Formulation of the results. For any $\kappa \in \mathbb{K}[[\hbar^2]]$, the Moyal-type superbracket

$$\mathcal{M}_\kappa(z|f, g) = \frac{1}{\hbar \kappa} f(z) \sinh \left(\hbar \kappa \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} \omega^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^B} \right) g(z) \quad (7)$$

is skew-symmetric and satisfies the Jacobi identity¹ and, therefore, gives a deformation of the initial Poisson algebra. For $\zeta \in \mathbf{E}_{n+}^{n-}[[\hbar^2]]$, $\kappa, c \in \mathbb{K}[[\hbar^2]]$, we set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}_{\kappa,\zeta}(z|f, g) &= \mathcal{M}_{\kappa}(z|f + \zeta\bar{f}, g + \zeta\bar{g}), \\ Q_{\zeta}(z|f, g) &= \{f(z), \zeta(z)\}\bar{g} - \sigma(f, g)\{g(z), \zeta(z)\}\bar{f},\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

$$R(z|f, g) = (1 - \frac{1}{2}z^A \frac{\partial}{\partial z^A})f(z)\bar{g} - \sigma(f, g)(1 - \frac{1}{2}z^A \frac{\partial}{\partial z^A})g(z)\bar{f},\tag{9}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{\kappa,\zeta,c}(z|f, g) = \mathcal{M}_{\kappa}(z|f + \zeta\bar{f}, g + \zeta\bar{g}) + c\bar{f}\bar{g}$$

Now we can formulate the main result of the present paper.

Theorem.

1. Let $n_- = 2k$. Then every continuous formal deformation of the Poisson superalgebra $\mathcal{P}$ is equivalent either to the superbracket $\mathcal{N}_{\kappa,\zeta}(z|f, g)$, where $\zeta \in \mathbf{E}_{n+}^{n-}[[\hbar^2]]$ is even and $\kappa \in \mathbb{K}[[\hbar^2]]$, or to the superbracket

$$C(z|f, g) = \{f(z), g(z)\} + Q_{\zeta}(z|f, g) + cR(z|f, g),$$

where $\zeta \in \mathbf{E}_{n+}^{n-}[[\hbar^2]]$ is even and $c \in \mathbb{K}[[\hbar^2]]$.

2. Let $n_- = 2k+1$. Then every continuous formal deformation of the Poisson superalgebra $\mathcal{P}$ is equivalent to the superbracket $\mathcal{N}_{\kappa,\zeta,c}(z|f, g)$, where $c, \kappa \in \mathbb{K}[[\hbar^2]]$ and $\zeta \in \mathbf{E}_{n+}^{n-}[[\hbar^2]]$ is an odd function such that $[\mathcal{M}_{\kappa}(z|\zeta, \zeta) + c] \in \mathbf{D}_{n+}^{n-}[[\hbar^2]]$.

The rest of the paper consists of the proof of this Theorem.

5. The case $n_- = 2k$.

From Theorem 3 of [8] it follows that the general solution of equation (1) for the first-order deformation is given by

$$C_1(z|f, g) = \frac{1}{6}\kappa_1^2 P(z|f, g) + Q_{\zeta_1}(z|f, g) + c_{31}R(z|f, g) + d_1^{\text{ad}}t_{D1}(z|f, g),\tag{10}$$

$$\varepsilon(\kappa_1) = \varepsilon(c_{31}) = \varepsilon(\zeta_{C1}(z)) = 0,$$

where $Q(z|f, g)$ and $R(z|f, g)$ are given by (8) and (9) respectively and $P(z|f, g)$ is defined by the relation

$$P(z|f, g) = f(z) \left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} \omega^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^B} \right)^3 g(z), \quad \bar{P}(|f, g) = 0.$$

The forms $P(z|f, g)$, $Q(z|f, g)$, and $R(z|f, g)$ satisfy the relations

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{Q}_{\zeta}(|f, g) &= 0, \quad \bar{R}(|f, g) = 0, \\ \sigma(f, h)[P(z|f, Q_{\zeta}(|g, h)) + Q_{\zeta}(z|f, P(|g, h))] + \text{cycle}(f, g, h) &= \\ = \sigma(f, h)S_{\zeta_1}(z|f, g)\bar{h} + \text{cycle}(f, g, h) &= -\sigma(f, h)d_2^{\text{ad}}J_{\zeta}(z|f, g, h),\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

¹This fact is well known for the bosonic case and can be easily checked for the supercase.

$$\begin{aligned}
S_\zeta(z|f, g) &= \left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} \omega^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^B} \right)^3 \{g(z), \zeta_C(z)\} - \\
&- \sigma(f, g)g(z) \left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} \omega^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^B} \right)^3 \{f(z), \zeta_C(z)\} - \{f(z) \left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} \omega^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^B} \right)^3 g(z), \zeta_C(z)\}, \\
J_\zeta(z|f, g) &= f(z) \left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} \omega^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^B} \right)^3 \zeta_C(z) \bar{g} - \sigma(f, g)g(z) \left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} \omega^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^B} \right)^3 \zeta_C(z) \bar{f}, \quad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma(f, h)[P(z|f, R(|g, h)) + R(z|f, P(|g, h))] + \text{cycle}(f, g, h) &= \\
-2[P(z|f, g)\bar{h} + \text{cycle}(f, g, h)] &\equiv -2T_{PR}(z|f, g, h),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\sigma(f, h)Q_\zeta(z|f, Q_\zeta(|g, h)) + \text{cycle}(f, g, h) = 0, \quad (13)$$

$$\sigma(f, h)[Q_\zeta(z|f, R(|g, h)) + R(z|f, Q_\zeta(|g, h))] + \text{cycle}(f, g, h) = 0, \quad (14)$$

$$\sigma(f, h)R(z|f, R(|g, h)) + \text{cycle}(f, g, h) = 0. \quad (15)$$

In deriving these formulas, we have used the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{l}[f(z) \left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} \omega^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^B} \right)^p g(z)] &= [\hat{l}f(z)] \left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} \omega^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^B} \right)^p g(z) + f(z) \left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} \omega^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^B} \right)^p \hat{l}g(z) + \\
&+ (p-1)f(z) \left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} \omega^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^B} \right)^p g(z), \quad \hat{l} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}z^A \frac{\partial}{\partial z^A}.
\end{aligned}$$

Performing the equivalence transformation $C(z|f, g) \rightarrow C_T(z|f, g)$ with $T(z|f) = f(z) - \hbar^2 t_{D1}(z|f) + O(\hbar^4)$, we rewrite $C(z|f, g)$ in the form

$$C(z|f, g) = \mathcal{M}_{\kappa_1}(z|f, g) + \hbar^2 Q_{\zeta_1}(z|f, g) + c_{31}\hbar^2 R(z|f, g) + \hbar^4 C_2(z|f, g) + O(\hbar^6),$$

where $\mathcal{M}_\kappa(z|f, g)$ is the Moyal superbracket (7).

By the Jacobi identity (3) for $C(z|f, g, h)$, we obtain

$$d_2^{\text{ad}} C_2(z|f, g, h) = \frac{c_{31}\kappa_1^2}{3} \sigma(f, h) T_{PR}(z|f, g, h) + \frac{\kappa_1^2}{6} d_2^{\text{ad}} J_{\zeta_1}(z|f, g, h). \quad (16)$$

Let

$$\left[z \bigcup \text{supp}(f) \bigcup \text{supp}(g) \bigcup \text{supp}(h) \right] \bigcap \text{supp}(s) = \emptyset;$$

From equation (16) it follows that

$$d_1^{\text{ad}} \hat{C}_2(z|f, g, h) = \frac{c_{31}\kappa_1^2}{3} \sigma(f, h) P(z|f, g) \bar{h} + \frac{\kappa_1^2}{6} d_1^{\text{ad}} j_{\zeta_1}(z|f, g) \bar{h}, \quad (17)$$

where in the left-hand side of equation (17) the differential d_1^{ad} acts only on the first argument of the functional $\hat{C}_2(f, h)$ and

$$j_{\zeta_1}(z|f) = f(z) \left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} \omega^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^B} \right)^3 \zeta_C(z).$$

As shown in Section 4.3 of [8], equation (17) has a solution only if

$$c_{31}\kappa_1 = 0.$$

i) $\kappa_1 = 0$, $c_{31} \neq 0$.

In this case, equation (16) takes the form

$$d_2^{\text{ad}} C_2(z|f, g, h) = 0.$$

The general solution of this equation is

$$C_2(z|f, g) = \frac{1}{2}\kappa_2 P(z|f, g) + Q_{\zeta_2}(z|f, g) + c_{32}R(z|f, g) + d_1^{\text{ad}} t_{D2}(z|f, g).$$

Performing the equivalence transformation $C(z|f, g) \rightarrow C_T(z|f, g)$ with $T(z|f) = f(z) - \hbar^4 t_{D2}(z|f,) + O(\hbar^6)$, we rewrite $C(z|f, g)$ in the form

$$C(z|f, g) = \{f(z), g(z)\} + \frac{1}{2}\hbar^4 \kappa_2 P(z|f, g) + Q_{\zeta_{[2]}}(z|f, g) + c_{3[2]}R(z|f, g) + \hbar^6 C_3(z|f, g) + O(\hbar^8),$$

$$\zeta_{[n]}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n \hbar^{2k} \zeta_{Ck}(z), \quad c_{3[n]} = \sum_{k=1}^n \hbar^{2k} c_{3k}.$$

The Jacobi identity (3) for $C(z|f, g, h)$ yields

$$d_2^{\text{ad}} C_3(z|f, g, h) = c_{31}\kappa_2 \sigma(f, h) T_{PR}(z|f, g, h) + \frac{\kappa_2}{2} d_2^{\text{ad}} J_{\zeta_1}(z|f, g, h). \quad (18)$$

We now conclude that equation (18) has a solution only for $c_{31}\kappa_2 = 0$. This implies that $\kappa_2 = 0$. Proceeding in the same way, we find that up to an equivalence transformation, the general form of the functional $C(z|f, g)$ satisfying the Jacobi identity (3) (the general form of the *-commutator) is given by

$$C(z|f, g) = \{f(z), g(z)\} + Q_{\zeta_{[\infty]}}(z|f, g) + c_{3[\infty]}R(z|f, g), \quad (19)$$

A direct check using the relations (13) - (15) shows that the form (19) satisfies the Jacobi identity (3).

ii) $\kappa_1 \neq 0$, $c_{31} = 0$.

In this case, equation (16) takes the form

$$d_2^{\text{ad}} C_2(z|f, g, h) = \frac{\kappa_1^2}{6} d_2^{\text{ad}} J_{\zeta_1}(z|f, g, h).$$

The general solution of this equation is given by

$$C_2(z|f, g) = \frac{\kappa_1^2}{6} J_{\zeta_1}(z|f, g) + \frac{\kappa_1 \kappa_2}{3} P(z|f, g) + Q_{\zeta_2}(z|f, g) + c_{32} R(z|f, g) + d_1^{\text{ad}} t_{D2}(z|f, g).$$

Performing the equivalence transformation $C(z|f, g) \rightarrow C_T(z|f, g)$ with $T(z|f) = f(z) - \hbar^4 t_{D2}(z|f,) + O(\hbar^6)$, we represent $C(z|f, g)$ in the form

$$C(z|f, g) = \mathcal{N}_{\kappa_{[2]}, \zeta_{[2]}}(z|f, g) + c_{32} \hbar^4 R(z|f, g) + \hbar^6 C_3(z|f, g) + O(\hbar^8), \quad (20)$$

where²

$$\mathcal{N}_{\kappa, \zeta}(z|f, g) = \mathcal{M}_{\kappa}(z|f, g) + \mathcal{M}_{\kappa}(z|f, \zeta_C) \bar{g} - \sigma(f, g) \mathcal{M}_{\kappa}(z|g, \zeta_C) \bar{f} = \mathcal{M}_{\kappa}(z|f + \zeta_C \bar{f}, g + \zeta_C \bar{g}),$$

$$\kappa_{[n]} = \sum_{k=1}^n \hbar^{2(k-1)} \kappa_k.$$

The form $\mathcal{N}_{\kappa, \zeta}(z|f, g)$ satisfies the Jacobi identity (3). The proof of this fact becomes obvious if the relation

$$\int dz f(z) \left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} \omega^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^B} \right)^p \zeta(z) = 0, \quad p \geq 1.$$

is taken into account. The Jacobi identity (3) for $C(z|f, g, h)$ yields

$$d_2^{\text{ad}} C_3(z|f, g, h) = \frac{c_{32} \kappa_1^2}{3} \sigma(f, h) T_{PR}(z|f, g, h). \quad (21)$$

This equation has a solution only for $c_{32} \kappa_1 = 0$, whence it follows that $c_{32} = 0$.

Proceeding in the same way, we find that up to an equivalence transformation, the general form of the functional $C(z|f, g)$ satisfying the Jacobi identity (3) (the general form of the *-commutator) is given by

$$C(z|f, g) = \mathcal{N}_{\kappa_{[\infty]}, \zeta_{[\infty]}}(z|f, g), \quad \zeta_{C\infty}(z) \in \mathbf{E}_{n_+}^{n_-} / \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}, \quad \varepsilon(\zeta_{C\infty}) = 0.$$

6. The case $n_- = 2k + 1$.

In this case, the general solution of equation (1) for the first-order deformation is given by

$$C_1(z|f, g) = \frac{1}{6} \kappa_1^2 P(z|f, g) + Q_{\zeta_1}(z|f, g) + d_1^{\text{ad}} t_{D1}(z|f, g),$$

$$\varepsilon(\kappa_1) = 0, \quad \varepsilon(\zeta_{C1}(z)) = 1.$$

Note that in contrast to (10), the term $R(z|f, g)$ does not enter in the first-order deformation because for odd n_- , it does not possess the required parity.

²In deriving this relation, we have used the equality

$$\zeta(z) \left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} \omega^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^B} \right)^p \zeta(z) = 0$$

which holds for $\varepsilon(\zeta) = 0$, $p = 2k + 1$.

Consider the form

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}_{\kappa,\zeta,c_2}(z|f,g) &= \mathcal{M}_\kappa(z|f + \zeta_C \bar{f}, g + \zeta_C \bar{g}) + c_2 \bar{f} \bar{g} = \\ &= \mathcal{M}_\kappa(z|f,g) + \mathcal{M}_\kappa(z|f, \zeta_C \bar{g}) - \sigma(f,g) \mathcal{M}_\kappa(z|g, \zeta_C \bar{f}) + \mathcal{L}_{\kappa,\zeta,c_2}(z) \bar{f} \bar{g}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{\kappa,\zeta,c_2}(z) &= \mathcal{M}_\kappa(z|\zeta_C, \zeta_C) + c_2, \quad \varepsilon(\zeta_C(z)) = 1.\end{aligned}$$

We assume that $\zeta_C(z) \in \mathbf{E}_{n_+}^{n_-}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa,\zeta,c_2}(z) \in \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$. The form $\mathcal{N}_{\kappa,\zeta,c_2}(z|f,g)$ has the following properties:

- i) $\mathcal{N}_{\kappa,\zeta,c_2}(z|f,g) \in \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$ (obvious).
- ii) $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_{\kappa,\zeta,c_2}(f,g) = \int dz \mathcal{N}_{\kappa,\zeta,c_2}(z|f,g) = 0$.

Indeed,

$$\int dz \mathcal{N}_{\kappa,\zeta,c_2}(z|f,g) = \left[\int dz \mathcal{L}_{\kappa,\zeta,c_2}(z) \right] \bar{f} \bar{g}.$$

The integral of $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa,\zeta,c_2}(z) \in \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$ is well-defined and is equal to zero as an integral of an even function with respect to an odd number of odd variables.

- iii) The form $\mathcal{N}_{\kappa,\zeta,c_2}(z|f,g)$ satisfies the Jacobi identity.

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}_{\kappa,\zeta,c_2}(z|f, \mathcal{N}_{\kappa,\zeta,c_2}(|g,h)) &= \mathcal{M}_\kappa(z|f + \zeta_C \bar{f}, \mathcal{M}_\kappa(|g + \zeta_C \bar{g}, h + \zeta \bar{h})) + \mathcal{M}_\kappa(z|f + \zeta_C \bar{f}, c_2 \bar{g} \bar{h}) + \\ &+ [\mathcal{M}_\kappa(z|f + \zeta_C \bar{f}, \zeta_C) + c_2 \bar{f}] \bar{\mathcal{N}}_{\kappa,\zeta,c_2}(g,h) = \mathcal{M}_\kappa(z|f + \zeta_C \bar{f}, \mathcal{M}_\kappa(|g + \zeta_C \bar{g}, h + \zeta \bar{h})),\end{aligned}$$

whence the Jacobi identity follows because the form $\mathcal{M}_\kappa(z|f,g)$ satisfies the Jacobi identity for any $f,g,h \in \mathbf{E}_{n_+}^{n_-}$.

Now consider the form

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}_{\kappa_{[n]},\zeta_{[n]},c_{2[n]}}(z|f,g) &= \mathcal{M}_{\kappa_{[n]}}(z|f + \zeta_{C[n]} \bar{f}, g + \zeta_{C[n]} \bar{g}) + c_{2[n]} \bar{f} \bar{g} = \\ &= \mathcal{M}_{\kappa_{[n]}}(z|f,g) + \mathcal{M}_{\kappa_{[n]}}(z|f, \zeta_{C[n]} \bar{g}) - \sigma(f,g) \mathcal{M}_{\kappa_{[n]}}(z|g, \zeta_{C[n]} \bar{f}) + \mathcal{L}_{\kappa_{[n]},\zeta_{[n]},c_{2[n]}}(z) \bar{f} \bar{g}, \quad n \geq 1,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\kappa_{[n]} = \sum_{k=1}^n \hbar^{2(k-1)} \kappa_k, \quad \zeta_{C[n]}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n \hbar^{2k} \zeta_{Ck}(z), \quad \varepsilon(\zeta_{Ck}(z)) = 1, \quad c_{2[n]} = \sum_{k=1}^n \hbar^{2k} c_{2k}, \quad c_{21} = 0.$$

Suppose that

$$\zeta_{C[n]} \in C^\infty, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\kappa_{[n]},\zeta_{[n]},c_{2[n]}} \Big|_{[n+1]} (z) \in \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-},$$

where $A(\hbar^2) \Big|_{[n]} = \sum_{k=0}^n A(\hbar^2) \Big|_k$, and $A(\hbar^2) \Big|_k$ denotes the term of order $\hbar^{2k}$ of the expansion of the function $A(\hbar^2)$ in the Taylor series in $\hbar^2$. Then it is easy to show that the Jacobian $J\mathcal{N}_{\kappa_{[n]},\zeta_{[n]},c_{2[n]}}(z|f,g,h)$ of the form $\mathcal{N}_{\kappa_{[n]},\zeta_{[n]},c_{2[n]}}(z|f,g)$,

$$J\mathcal{N}_{\kappa_{[n]},\zeta_{[n]},c_{2[n]}}(z|f,g,h) \equiv \sigma(f,h) \mathcal{N}_{\kappa_{[n]},\zeta_{[n]},c_{2[n]}}(z|f, \mathcal{N}_{\kappa_{[n]},\zeta_{[n]},c_{2[n]}}(|g,h)) + \text{cycle}(f,g,h),$$

has the property

$$J\mathcal{N}_{\kappa_{[n]},\zeta_{[n]},c_{2[n]}}(z|f,g,h) \Big|_{[n+2]} = 0$$

(in this Jacobian, the terms of order $\hbar^{2k}$, $k \geq n+3$, may be ill-defined).

Performing the equivalence transformation $C(z|f, g) \rightarrow C_T(z|f, g)$ with $T(z|f) = f(z) - \hbar^2 t_{D1}(z|f) + O(\hbar^4)$, we rewrite $C(z|f, g)$ in the form

$$C(z|f, g) = \mathcal{N}_{\kappa_{[1]}, \zeta_{[1]}, c_{2[1]}}(z|f, g) + \hbar^4 C_2(z|f, g) - \mathcal{L}_{\kappa_{[1]}, \zeta_{[1]}, c_{2[1]}}(z) \Big|_2 \bar{f} \bar{g} + O(\hbar^6).$$

The condition $C(z|f, g) \in \mathbf{D}_{n+}^{n-}$ implies that $C_2(z|f, g) \in \mathbf{D}_{n+}^{n-}$.

The Jacobi identity (3) for $C(z|f, g, h)$ yields

$$d_2^{\text{ad}} C'_2(z|f, g, h) = 0, \quad C'_2(z|f, g) = C_2(z|f, g) - \hbar^{-4} \mathcal{L}_{\kappa_{[1]}, \zeta_{[1]}, c_{2[1]}}(z) \Big|_2 \bar{f} \bar{g},$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} C_2(z|f, g) &= \hbar^{-4} \mathcal{L}_{\kappa_{[1]}, \zeta_{[1]}, c_{2[1]}}(z) \Big|_2 \bar{f} \bar{g} + \frac{\kappa_1 \kappa_2}{3} P(z|f, g) + c_{22} \bar{f} \bar{g} + \\ &\quad + \delta_{n-, 4+n+} c_{42} V(z|f, g) + d_1^{\text{ad}} t_2(z|f, g), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$V(z|f, g) = \int du u^A \left(\frac{\partial f(u)}{\partial u^A} g(u) - \sigma(f, g) \frac{\partial g(u)}{\partial u^A} f(u) \right).$$

Let

$$z \bigcup \text{supp}(f) = z \bigcup \text{supp}(g) = \text{supp}(f) \bigcup \text{supp}(g) = \emptyset.$$

Then we have

$$\hat{C}_2(z|f, g) = [\hbar^{-4} \mathcal{L}_{\kappa_{[1]}, \zeta_{[1]}, c_{2[1]}}(z) \Big|_2 + c_{22}] \bar{f} \bar{g}.$$

The condition $\hat{C}_2(z|f, g) \in \mathbf{D}_{n+}^{n-}$ yields

$$[\hbar^{-4} \mathcal{L}_{\kappa_{[1]}, \zeta_{[1]}, c_{2[1]}}(z) \Big|_2 + c_{22}] \in \mathbf{D}_{n+}^{n-}. \quad (22)$$

Thus, the deformation is possible not for all functions $\zeta_{C1}(z)$, but only for those satisfying the condition (22).

Further, from the condition $[\delta_{n-, 4+n+} c_{42} V(z|f, g) + d_1^{\text{ad}} t_2(z|f, g)] \in \mathbf{D}_{n+}^{n-}$ we find (see the end of Section 4.3 of [8]) that

$$c_{42} = 0, \quad t_2(z|f) = \zeta_{C2}(z) \bar{f} + t_{D2}(z|f),$$

$$\zeta_{C2}(z) \in \mathbf{E}_{n+}^{n-} / \mathbf{D}_{n+}^{n-}, \quad \varepsilon(\zeta_{C2}) = 1, \quad t_{D2}(z|f) \in \mathbf{D}_{n+}^{n-}.$$

Performing the equivalence transformation $C(z|f, g) \rightarrow C_T(z|f, g)$ with $T(z|f) = f(z) - \hbar^4 t_{D2}(z|f) + O(\hbar^6)$, we represent $C(z|f, g)$ in the form

$$C(z|f, g) = \mathcal{N}_{\kappa_{[2]}, \zeta_{[2]}, c_{2[2]}}(z|f, g) + \hbar^6 C_3(z|f, g) - \mathcal{L}_{\kappa_{[2]}, \zeta_{[2]}, c_{2[2]}}(z) \Big|_3 \bar{f} \bar{g} + O(\hbar^8).$$

The condition $C(z|f, g) \in \mathbf{D}_{n+}^{n-}$ implies that $C_3(z|f, g) \in D$.

The Jacobi identity (3) for $C(z|f, g, h)$ yields

$$d_2^{\text{ad}} C'_3(z|f, g, h) = 0, \quad C'_3(z|f, g) = C_3(z|f, g) - \hbar^{-6} \mathcal{L}_{\kappa_{[2]}, \zeta_{[2]}, c_{2[2]}}(z) \Big|_3 \bar{f} \bar{g},$$

whence it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} C_3(z|f, g) &= \hbar^{-6} \mathcal{L}_{\kappa_{[2]}, \zeta_{[2]}, c_{2[2]}}(z) \Big|_3 \bar{f} \bar{g} + \left(\frac{\kappa_2^2}{6} + \frac{\kappa_1 \kappa_3}{3} \right) P(z|f, g) + c_{23} \bar{f} \bar{g} + \\ &\quad + \delta_{n_-, 4+n_+} c_{43} V(z|f, g) + d_1^{\text{ad}} t_3(z|f, g), \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$z \bigcup \text{supp}(f) = z \bigcup \text{supp}(g) = \text{supp}(f) \bigcup \text{supp}(g) = \emptyset.$$

Then we have

$$\hat{C}_3(z|f, g) = [\hbar^{-6} \mathcal{L}_{\kappa_{[2]}, \zeta_{[2]}, c_{2[2]}}(z) \Big|_3 + c_{23}] \bar{f} \bar{g}.$$

The condition $\hat{C}_3(z|f, g) \in \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$ gives

$$[\hbar^{-6} \mathcal{L}_{\kappa_{[2]}, \zeta_{[2]}, c_{2[2]}}(z) \Big|_3 + c_{22}] \in \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}.$$

Proceeding in the same way, we finally find that up to an equivalence transformation, the general form of the functional $C(z|f, g)$ satisfying the Jacobi identity (3) (the general form of the $*$ -commutator) is given by

$$C(z|f, g) = \mathcal{N}_{\kappa_{[\infty]}, \zeta_{[\infty]}, c_{2[\infty]}}(z|f, g),$$

$$\zeta_{C\infty}(z) \in \mathbf{E}_{n_+}^{n_-} / \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}, \quad \varepsilon(\zeta_{C\infty}) = 1, \quad [\mathcal{M}_{\kappa_{[\infty]}}(z|\zeta_{C[\infty]}, \zeta_{C[\infty]}) + c_{2[\infty]}] \in \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}.$$

7. Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the RFBR (grants No. 02-02-16944 (I.T.), No. 02-02-17067 (S.K.), and No. 02-02-16946 (A.S.)), by INTAS (grant No. 03-51-6346 (A.S.) and No. 00-00-262 (I.T.)) and by the grant LSS-1578.2003.2.

References

- [1] *F.Bayen, M.Flato, C.Fronsdal, A.Lichnerovich, D.Sternheimer*, Ann.Phys, **111** (1978) 61; Ann.Phys, **111** (1978) 111.
- [2] *M.Karasev, V.Maslov*, Nonlinear Poisson brackets. Geometry and Quantization. Moscow: Nauka, 1991.
- [3] *B.Fedosov*, Deformation Quantization and Index Theory. Berlin: Akademie, 1996.
- [4] *M.Kontsevich*, Deformation Quantization of Poisson Manifolds, I, q-alg/9709040.
- [5] *D.Leites, I.Shchepochkina*, Teor. Mat. Fiz., **126** (2001) 339.

- [6] *I.V.Tyutin*, Teor. Mat. Fiz., **128** (2001) 515.
- [7] *I.A.Batalin, I.V.Tyutin*, "General local solution to the Jacoby cyclic equation", unpublished.
- [8] *S. E. Konstein, A. G. Smirnov and I. V. Tyutin*, Cohomologies of the Poisson superalgebra, hep-th/0312109.
- [9] *M. Gerstenhaber*, Ann. Math. **79** (1964), 59–103; *ibid.* **99** (1974), 257–276.